American Wigeon Anas americana vigilance behaviour on suburban golf courses

JACOB L. BERL

Division of Forestry and Natural Resources, West Virginia University, Morgantown, West Virginia 26506, USA. E-mail: jberl@mix.wvu.edu

Abstract

Behavioural data were recorded from flocks of wintering American Wigeon Anas americana on a southern Californian (USA) golf course to test whether vigilance was related to levels of local human activity. The study site was subject to high rates of human activity, which provided an opportunity to evaluate waterfowl anti-predatory response (vigilance) when presented with frequent disturbance stimuli. Overall, human activities had no significant effect on individual vigilance behaviour while flocks grazed on golf course fairways. Vigilance patterns were instead influenced by the distance at which flocks grazed from water, flock size and the sex of the birds. Furthermore, vigilance constituted < 7 % of the ducks' activity budget, less than that reported for American Wigeon and Eurasian Wigeon Anas penelope in more natural environments. This suggests that the ducks did not increase time spent in vigilance in response to high rates of human activity, but may benefit from favourable foraging opportunities associated with golf course and other human-modified habitats.

Key words: Anas americana, anti-predatory behaviour, golf course habitat, human activity, safe-habitat hypothesis.

However,

It is important to understand the influence of human activities on waterbird behaviour given that growing human populations will invariably result in increased human-wildlife interactions (Pease et al. 2005; Guillemain et al. 2007a; Wang et al. 2011). Waterfowl can be adversely affected by human disturbance through increased vigilance behaviour and decreased foraging or intake rates (Bélanger & Bédard 1990; Henson & Grant 1991; Korschgen &

waterfowl behavioural responses disturbance by humans have been conducted in relatively natural environments such as wildlife refuges and conservation areas (e.g. Fox et al. 1993; Pease et al. 2005; Guillemain et al. 2007a; Madsen et al. 2009), with very few being conducted in urbanised or artificial environments (but see Randler 2003).

Dahlgren 1992; Knapton et al. 2000).

most

studies

quantifying

to

Although it is predicted that waterfowl should perceive humans as predators (sensu Frid & Dill 2002), waterfowl occurring in habitats experiencing frequent disturbance stimuli not associated with predation or other "harm" are likely to respond differently to human activity (for instance by habituating to them; Madsen 1995). Consistent with that observation, it is increasingly common for wintering and migrating waterfowl populations, including American Wigeon Anas americana, to use artificial habitats such as urban parks, airports and golf courses, suggesting that individuals selecting these habitats may become less responsive to human presence (Blackwell et al. 2008; Moul & Elliott 1994; Merola-Zwartjes & DeLong 2005).

Actively feeding waterfowl interrupt foraging bouts to scan their surroundings, potentially with greater frequency when predation risk is elevated (Pöysä 1987). One measure often used to test the level of waterfowl response to potential disturbance stimuli is to measure vigilance behaviour under different levels of human activity. Although individuals are vigilant to scan for potential competitors (Pöysä 1994), or to monitor family members and mates (Black et al. 1992), vigilance is primarily regarded as an anti-predator behaviour (Caro 2005; Guillemain et al. 2007b) and can be used as a measure of perceived predation risk (Lima & Dill 1990). In addition to human activity (Randler 2003; Severcam & Yamac 2011; Wang et al. 2011), other factors influence vigilance behaviour in waterfowl, including: flock size and density (Lazarus 1978), position within the flock (Inglis & Lazarus 1981; Black et al. 1992), pair and family status (Black & Owen 1989), sexual differences (Mayhew 1987; Guillemain *et al.* 2003), predators (Jacobson & Ugelvik 1992), distance from water or refuge habitats (Mayhew & Houston 1989), and presence of other species (Larsen 1996).

Although factors affecting vigilance behaviour in waterfowl are generally well understood, little information exists quantifying these effects in anthropogenic habitats where human activity may be high, but benign, while the benefit in terms of food intake rates may favour a greater tolerance of such activity. This study evaluates the effects of human activity on the vigilance behaviour of wintering American Wigeon foraging on a suburban golf course - a highly human-modified habitat - whilst also considering other variables (sex, distance from water, flock size and position of an individual in the flock) known from previous studies (Mayhew 1987; Guillemain et al. 2003; Berl & Black 2011) to influence alert levels in wigeon. Based on the results of these studies, vigilance by American Wigeon on suburban golf courses was predicted to: 1) be higher in males than females, 2) increase with distance from water, and 3) decrease with flock size, whilst levels of individual vigilance would not be related to 5) presence of humans or 6) levels of human activity.

Methods

Observations of grazing American Wigeon were conducted on the Fallbrook Golf Club, a ~50 ha suburban public golf course, located 2 km southeast of Fallbrook, California, USA (33°N, 117°W). The Fallbrook Golf Club receives high levels of human activity from golfers, golf carts, bicyclists, joggers, and pedestrians (see results). American Wigeon at this site typically grazed on land, similar to those foraging on natural coastal pastures or saltmarsh (see Berl & Black 2011 for description).

Behavioural data were collected from 28 January 2012 to 22 March 2012, and resulted in 16.5 h of observation. The study period was kept short to control for seasonal influences on vigilance behaviour (Fernández-Juricic & Schroeder 2003). Vigilance behaviour was recorded using a digital video camera (Samsung HMX-F80; 65× optical zoom) centred on a focal bird. Video recordings were transferred to a computer and later analysed by a single observer (JLB). Focal birds were recorded for between 52–97 s, averaging 66 s \pm 0.52 s.e. (Mayhew 1987; Berl & Black 2011). Vigilance was expressed as the percentage of time that the focal bird was vigilant (time vigilant *100/length of observation period); it also allowed for direct comparisons with previous studies (e.g. Mayhew 1987; Portugal & Guillemain 2011). To reduce the likelihood of pseudo-replication, focal bird recordings were restricted to 10 per observational session (Berl & Black 2011), alternating between sexes when possible. High turnover rate of wintering waterfowl populations further diminishes the probability of pseudoreplication on consecutive observational events (Portugal & Guillemain 2011). All observations and video recordings were conducted from concealed hides at distances that did not noticeably alter bird behaviour (75-200 m; Severcan & Yamac 2011).

Several variables were recorded in the field during each data collection session

using $8 \times$ binoculars and $15-40 \times$ spotting scope, including flock size. American Wigeon typically graze in compact flocks, and thus only individuals within 30 m of the focal bird were considered in flock counts (Portugal & Guillemain 2011). To reduce the effect of flock size on vigilance, recordings were limited to flocks of ≥ 20 individuals, as previous studies (Mayhew 1987; Mayhew & Houston 1989) have shown that flock size does not tend to have a significant effect on vigilance rates in wigeon when flocks are greater than 20 individuals. In all cases, the bird nearest the centre of the flock was selected for recording. In instances where it was impossible to view the centremost bird (because of flock densities) the position of the focal bird in relation to the centre of the flock (in duck lengths) was recorded to check that an individual's position within the flock did not affect vigilance levels (Kruskal-Wallis analysis of variance: $H_3 = 1.86$, P = 0.60, n.s.). Furthermore, each focal bird was grouped into one of four distances from water categories: 0-20 m, 21-40 m, 41-60 m, and > 61 m.

Focal bird recordings were conducted during periods of human presence and absence to determine if American Wigeon adjust vigilance investment according to human activity. Each focal recording was grouped into one of four categories based on the number of humans present: absent, low activity (1–2 people), medium activity (3–4), and high activity (\geq 5). Human activity was considered irrespective of whether this caused disturbance to the birds, and consisted primarily of golfers, golf carts, bicyclists, joggers and pedestrians which usually occurred along the golf course paths and fairways. Human activity was recorded within 50 m and 100 m radii surrounding the focal flock, selected because previous studies have shown wigeon respond to human disturbances within 100 m in natural habitats (Mori et al. 2001; Bregnballe et al. 2009). Distances were estimated visually, but were assumed to be accurate because golf course fairways had known distance markers (i.e. yardage markers) which provided a benchmark for measuring distance from the birds. To obtain data throughout the range of human activity levels, recordings were conducted opportunistically at varying times and days of the week to encompass low (week-day) and high (week-end) levels of human activity.

Non-parametric analyses were used because vigilance data were not normally distributed (Shapiro-Wilk normality test: W = 0.798, P < 0.01) and could not be normalised by transformation. Wilcoxon signed-rank tests were used to compare the percentage of time spent vigilant when humans were absent and present, and also to test for sex differences in vigilance behaviour. Kruskal-Wallis analysis of variance was used to test the effects on vigilance of human activity levels and distance from water. The relationship between vigilance and flock size was tested using the Spearman rank correlation. All analyses were made using Programme R 2.13.1 (R Development Core Team 2011) with significance set at P = 0.05 and data are presented as means \pm standard error (s.e.).

Results

A total of 174 focal bird recordings were conducted on American Wigeon grazing on golf course fairways. There was a high rate of human activity in the study area, averaging 54 (\pm 4.4) people present per hour, and 1.86 (\pm 0.2, range = 1–10) people recorded per focal bird observation. Overall, the percentage of time spent vigilant averaged 6.8% (\pm 0.50, n = 174), which is below vigilance rates previously reported for wigeon in more natural habitats (Table 1). Mean flock size was 60.8 (\pm 1.40, range = 20–100) individuals.

Individual vigilance behaviour did not differ during periods of human presence or absence within 50 m ($W_{125} = 2026, P = 0.86$, n.s., Fig. 1a) or 100 m ($W_{172} = 3794$, P = 0.67, n.s., Fig. 1b) of the focal flock, nor did vigilance differ during different levels of human activity (50 m: $H_4 = 2.47$, P = 0.48; 100 m: $H_4 = 1.43$, P = 0.70; n.s. in both cases; Fig. 1b). Males were more vigilant than females when humans were present $(W_{101} = 1692, P < 0.01, Fig. 2a)$, whereas vigilance levels for the two sexes did not differ in the absence of human activity $(W_{69} = 697, P = 0.31, \text{n.s.}, \text{Fig. 2b})$. Vigilance levels increased markedly as individuals foraged at progressively greater distances from water ($H_3 = 14.68, P < 0.01$, Fig. 3a) and in larger flocks ($r_{172} = 0.15$, P = 0.04, Fig. 3b).

Discussion

Contrary to previous research documenting adverse behavioural responses of waterfowl to disturbance by humans in natural areas, this study did not find any evidence for human activity affecting the vigilance behaviour of American Wigeon feeding in a highly human-impacted environment. Rather, vigilance rates corresponded to

Table 1. Comparison between vigilance rates and maximum observed foraging distance
from water recorded for American Wigeon (AMWI) and Eurasian Wigeon (EUWI) feeding
in different habitats.

Species	Mean % time spent vigilant	Max. observed dist. from water	Habitat	Location	Reference
AMWI	6.8	80 m	Suburban golf course	Fallbrook, California, USA	This study
AMWI	9.7	40 m	Rural pastureland	Arcata, California, USA	Berl & Black (2011)
EUWI	с. 10.0	35 m	Coastal tidal flats	Conwy Bay, North Wales, UK	Portugal & Guillemain (2011)
EUWI	12.3–16.2	_	Protected area (wetlands)	Rochefort, France	Guillemain et al. (2002)
EUWI	-	50 m	Rural saltmarsh	Danish Wadden Sea, Denmark	Larsen (1996)
EUWI	<i>c</i> . 10.0	60 m	Protected area (pasture)	Solway Firth, Scotland, UK	Mayhew & Houston (1989)

other factors known to influence alert behaviour in foraging waterfowl. There are several potential explanations for these findings.

As predicted, individual vigilance was most strongly influenced by sexual differences and distance from water. Males were significantly more vigilant than females when humans were present, but not in the absence of human activity. This lends support to the well-established suggestion that males will become more alert during relatively "risky" situations for reasons related to mate guarding or protection (Guillemain *et al.* 2002). Vigilance also increased as flocks grazed at greater distances from water (*i.e.* from their refuge habitat). American Wigeon foraging in rural grass pastures are also known to increase vigilance rates while foraging on land and away from water, and excessive human disturbance (such as direct approach to within a few meters) would induce flock responses (flying or quickly walking) to ponds or lakes in the vicinity (Berl & Black 2011). Bregnballe *et al.* (2009) described a



Figure 1. American Wigeon vigilance behaviour (median percentage of time spent vigilant) in relation to: a) the presence or absence of human activity within 50 m and 100 m of the focal flock, and b) different levels of human activity within 100 m of the focal flock, while flocks grazed on suburban golf courses near Fallbrook, California, USA from 28 January – 22 March 2012.

similar behaviour in Eurasian Wigeon *Anas penelope* in reaction to experimental pedestrian disturbance on a wetland restoration area in Denmark. Although not directly quantified in this study, this suggests that American Wigeon flocks are still somewhat reliant on open water habitat such as golf course ponds as predator-free refuges in an urbanised environment, and will use

them to escape from major disturbances. Interestingly, vigilance levels increased with larger flock sizes. This contrasts with the long held supposition that vigilance should decrease with increasing flock sizes (Inglis & Lazarus 1981), but may reflect the correlation (Spearman rank correlation: $r_{172} = 0.24$, P < 0.05) between flock size and distance from water (*sensu* Mayhew 1987).



Figure 2. Difference between male and female American Wigeon vigilance activity (median percentage of time spent vigilant) in the: a) presence, and b) absence of humans, while flocks grazed on suburban golf courses near Fallbrook, California, USA from 28 January – 22 March 2012.



Figure 3. American Wigeon vigilance behaviour in relation to: a) distance from water (median percentage of time spent vigilant), and b) flock size, while flocks grazed on suburban golf courses near Fallbrook, California, USA from 28 January – 22 March 2012.

Overall, vigilance levels in this study were below rates previously reported for American Wigeon and the closely related Eurasian Wigeon in less human-impacted habitats (Table 1). This indicates that wintering flocks on golf courses generally did not exhibit elevated anti-predatory behaviour in response to human activity and frequent disturbance stimuli. Using similar methods, Randler (2003) found that an urban population of feral Swan Geese Anser cygnoides had equivalent vigilance levels to other goose species in more natural environments. Golf course flocks also grazed at greater distances from water (maximum = 80 m) than previously recorded for wigeon in more natural conditions (Table 1). On land, grazing is known to be a "risky" foraging environment (Mayhew & Houston 1989; Portugal & Guillemain 2011); thus, the willingness of flocks to graze at such distances from water can be interpreted as reduced anti-predatory behaviour in a human-modified area.

The majority of published research quantifying adverse waterfowl behavioural responses to humans has occurred in relatively undisturbed habitats, in which birds may not be accustomed to human intrusion. Several studies have shown that wildlife occurring in human-modified habitats can habituate to humans when there are frequent interactions with no human persecution (review by Whittaker & Knight 1998). For example, Donaldson et al. (2007) demonstrated that several waterbird species had relatively relaxed behaviours towards an approaching observer in developed as opposed to undeveloped study sites. In this study, American Wigeon may have become accustomed to high levels of human activity which have no adverse effects on their individual fitness, to the extent that human presence did not induce an anti-predatory response. These findings may provide some support to the "safehabitat hypothesis", which postulates that environments frequently used by humans

can offer relatively predator- or risk-free habitats while providing enhanced individual fitness such as increased intake rate associated with lower anti-predatory behaviour (Sorace 2002; Valcarcel & Fernández-Juricic 2009).

Golf courses are likely attractive habitats for wintering and migrating American Wigeon because they provide ideal grazing substrate (i.e. fertilised grass fairways, maintained at a short sward height) and minimal time spent in head-up vigilance (as illustrated by vigilance accounting for only < 7 % of the activity budget, Table 1) which may allow birds to allocate more time to fitness-enhancing behaviours, such as resting, maintenance, and feeding. Golf courses therefore may be suitable supplementary habitats for American Wigeon in areas where conversion of their natural habitat (i.e. coastal saltmarsh or pastureland) to other land uses (e.g. residential development) has occurred, although further research is needed to determine how they utilise golf courses throughout the annual cycle, at larger spatial scales, and in conjunction with natural habitats.

Acknowledgements

I am grateful to the Fallbrook Golf Club for access to study sites and to L.T. Berl for aid with field work and data collection. I thank B.D. Dugger, A.D. Fox, J.M. Black, J.W. Edwards, A.M. Anderson, G.F. Albers and an anonymous reviewer for commenting on earlier versions of the manuscript.

References

Bélanger, L. & Bédard, J. 1990. Energetic cost of man-induced disturbance to staging Snow Geese. Journal of Wildlife Management 54: 36–41.

- Berl, J.L. & Black, J.M. 2011. Vigilance behaviour of American Wigeon *Anas americana* while foraging in grass pastures. *Wildfowl* 61: 142–151.
- Black, J.M. & Owen, M. 1989. Parent-offspring relationships in wintering Barnacle Geese. *Animal Behaviour* 37: 187–198.
- Black, J.M., Carbone, C., Owen, M. & Wells, R. 1992. Foraging dynamics in goose flocks: the cost of living on the edge. *Animal Behaviour* 44: 41–50.
- Blackwell, B.F., Schafer, L.M., Helon, D.A. & Linell, M.A. 2008. Bird use of stormwatermanagement ponds: decreasing avian attractants on airports. *Landscape and Urban Planning* 86: 162–170.
- Bregnballe, T., Speich, C., Horsten, A. & Fox, A.D. 2009. An experimental study of numerical and behavioural responses of spring staging dabbling ducks to human pedestrian disturbance. *Wildfowl Special Issue* 2: 131–142.
- Caro, T. 2005. *Antipredator Defenses in Birds and Mammals*. Chicago University Press, Chicago, USA.
- Donaldson, M.R., Henein, K.M. & Runtz, M.W. 2007. Assessing the effect of developed habitat on waterbird behaviour in an urban riparian system in Ottawa, Canada. Urban Ecosystems 10: 139–151.
- Fernández-Juricic, E. & Schroeder, N. 2003. Do variations in scanning behaviour affect tolerance to human disturbance? *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 84: 219–234.
- Fox, A.D., Bell, D.V. & Mudge, G.P. 1993. A preliminary study of the effects of disturbance on feeding wigeon grazing on eel-grass *Zostera*. *Wader Study Group Bulletin* 68: 67–71.
- Frid, A. & Dill, L. 2002. Human-caused disturbance stimuli as a form of predation risk. *Conservation Ecology* 6: 11.

- Guillemain, M., Martin, G.R. & Fritz, H. 2002. Feeding methods, visual fields, and vigilance in dabbling ducks (Anatidae). *Functional Ecology* 16: 522–529.
- Guillemain, M., Caldow, R.W.G., Hodder, K.H. & Goss-Custard, J.D. 2003. Increased vigilance of paired males in sexually dimorphic species: distinguishing between alternative explanations in wintering Eurasian Wigeon. *Bebavioural Ecology* 14: 724–729.
- Guillemain, M., Blanc, R., Lucas, C. & Lepley, M. 2007a. Ecotourism disturbance to wildfowl in protected areas: historical, empirical and experimental approaches in the Camargue, Southern France. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 16: 3633–3651.
- Guillemain, M., Arzel, C., Legagneux, P., Elmberg, J., Fritz, H., Lepley, M., Pin, C., Arnaud A. & Massez, G. 2007b. Risky foraging leads to cost-free mate guarding in male teal *Anas crecca. Journal of Ornithology* 148: 251–254.
- Henson, P. & Grant, T.A. 1991. The effects of human disturbance on Trumpeter Swan breeding behaviour. *Wildlife Society Bulletin* 19: 248–257.
- Inglis, I.R. & Lazarus, J. 1981. Vigilance and flock size in Brent Geese: the edge effect. *Zeitschrift für Tierpsychologie* 57: 193–200.
- Jacobson, O.W. & Ugelvik, M. 1992. Antipredator behaviour of breeding Eurasian Wigeon. *Journal of Field Ornithology* 63: 324–330.
- Knapton, R.W., Petrie, S.A. & Herring, G. 2000. Human disturbance of diving ducks on Long Point Bay, Lake Erie. *Wildlife Society Bulletin* 28: 923–930.
- Korschgen, C.E. & Dahlgren, R.B. 1992. Human disturbances of waterfowl: causes, effects, and management. Leaflet No. 13.2.15, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington D.C., USA.
- Larsen, J.K. 1996. Wigeon Anas penelope offsetting dependence on water by feeding in mixed-

species flocks: a natural experiment. *Ibis* 138: 555–557.

- Lazarus, J. 1978. Vigilance, flock size and domain of danger size in the White-fronted Goose. *Wildfowl* 29: 135–145.
- Lima, S.L. & Dill, L.M. 1990. Behavioural decisions made under the risk of predation: a review and prospectus. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 68: 619–640.
- Madsen, J. 1995. Impacts of disturbance on migratory waterfowl. *Ibis* 137: 67–74.
- Madsen, J., Tombre, I. & Eide, N.E. 2009. Effects of disturbance on geese in Svalbard: implications for regulating increased tourism. *Polar Research* 28: 376–389.
- Mayhew, P.W. 1987. Vigilance levels in European Wigeon – sexual differences. *Wildfowl* 38: 77–81.
- Mayhew, P.W. & Houston, D. 1989. Feeding site selection by wigeon *Anas penelope* in relation to water. *Ibis* 131: 1–8.
- Merola-Zwartjes, M. & DeLong, J.P. 2005. Avian species assemblages on New Mexico golf courses: surrogate riparian habitat for birds? *Wildlife Society Bulletin* 33: 435–447.
- Mori, Y., Sodhi, N.S., Kawanishi, S. & Yamagishi, S. 2001. The effect of human disturbance and flock composition on the flight distances of waterfowl species. *Journal of Ethology* 19: 115–119.
- Moul, I.E. & Elliot, J.E. 1994. Bird community found on golf courses in British Columbia. *Northwestern Naturalist* 75: 88–96.
- Pease, M.L., Rose, R.K. & Butler, M.J. 2005. Effects of human disturbance on behaviour of wintering ducks. *Wildlife Society Bulletin* 33: 103–112.
- Portugal S.J. & Guillemain, M. 2011. Vigilance patterns of wintering Eurasian Wigeon: female benefits from male low-cost behaviour. *Journal of Ornithology* 152: 661–668.
- Pöysä, H. 1987. Feeding-vigilance trade-off in the teal *Anas crecca*: effects of feeding

method and predation risk. *Behaviour* 103: 108–122.

- Pöysä, H. 1994. Group foraging, distance to cover and vigilance in teal, *Anas crecca. Animal Behaviour* 48: 921–928.
- Randler, C. 2003. Vigilance in urban Swan Geese and their hybrids. *Waterbirds* 26: 257– 260.
- Severcan, C. & Yamac, E. 2011. The effects of flock size and human presence on vigilance and feeding behaviour in the Eurasian Coot *Fulica atra* during breeding season. *Acta Ethologica* 14: 51–56.
- Sorace, A. 2002. High density of bird and pest species in urban habitats and the role of

predator abundance. Ornis Fennica 79: 60-71.

- Valcarcel, A. & Fernández-Juricic, E. 2009. Antipredator strategies of house finches: are urban habitats safe spots from predators even when humans are around? *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* 63: 673–685.
- Wang, Z., Zhongqiu, L., Beauchamp, G. & Jiang, Z. 2011. Flock size and human disturbance affect vigilance of endangered Red-crowned Cranes *Grus japonensis*. *Biological Conservation* 144: 101–105.
- Whittaker, D. & Knight, R.L. 1998. Understanding wildlife responses to humans. *Wildlife Society Bulletin* 26: 312–317.



Photograph: American Wigeon, by Leslie Scopes Anderson.